

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/882,596

Applicant(s)

MURSCHALL ET AL.

Examiner

Peter Szekely

Art Unit

1714

**All Participants:**(1) Peter Szekely.(2) Cathy Moore.**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 10 August 2004**Time:** 1:00 p.m.**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All*


Claims discussed:

*1, 9, 11, 23, 24 and 26.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***The "consisting essentially of" language of claims 1, 23, 24 and 26 will be changed to consisting. Claims 9 and 11 will be canceled.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)